



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

TS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/941,963 10/01/97 KRIZ

J H16-17016-US

LM02/0606

IAN D MACKINNON  
HONEYWELL INC  
HONEYWELL PLAZA P O BOX 524  
OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL MN12 8251  
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55440-0524

EXAMINER

YAO, K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2731

DATE MAILED:

06/06/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/941,963

Applicant(s)

Kriz

Examiner

Kwang B. Yao

Group Art Unit

2731



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 14, 2000

☐ This action is FINAL.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

## Disposition of Claim

☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) 18-25, 29, 36-38, and 40 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-17, 26-28, 30-35, and 39 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

## Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some\* ☒ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

## Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

— SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES —

Art Unit: 2731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Election/Restriction***

1. Newly submitted claim 40 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claim 40 has newly added claimed features regarding sleep mode, such that claim 40 does not have the same scope as the original prosecuted claims.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 24 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MEP. § 821.03.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14, 26-28, 30-35 and 39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Art Unit: 2731

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-17, 26-28, 30, 33, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by LeBlanc et al. (US 5,960,341).

Regarding claim 1, LeBlanc et al. disclose a positioning system comprising: a plurality of wireless phones 16 in Fig. 2 for transmitting and receiving signals (a plurality of devices, each device coupled to a low power transceiver that transmits and receives information); a plurality of call routing and monitoring devices for receiving messages from wireless phones 16 and communicating each other (a plurality of router nodes, each router nodes having a transceiver capable of receiving device information from one or more proximate wireless devices and capable of wireless communication at a higher power level with other router nodes); central office switch for controlling the data transmission among the PRC (a controller coupled to at least one router node for receiving device information, wherein the router nodes transmit device information either to the controller or to another router for further transmission of the device information).

Regarding claim 2, the wireless phone 16 has a lower data bandwidth than the high power call routing and monitoring device.

Regarding claim 3, the wireless phone 16 has a battery.

Regarding claim 5, the call routing and monitoring is coupled to the central office switch that supplies high bandwidth information.

Regarding claims 6-9, the central switch is coupled to PSTN.

Art Unit: 2731

Claims 10, 11, 13-17, 26-28, 30, 33 and 39 disclose the similar limitation as those in claims 1-3 and 5-9. Therefore, claims 10, 11, 13-17, 26-28, 30, 33 and 39 are rejected by the same reasons set forth.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LeBlanc et al. (US 5,960,341) in view of Loosmore et al. (US 5,682,142).

LeBlanc et al. disclose the claimed limitations discussed above. LeBlanc et al. do not disclose the claimed features of sensors and actuators. Loosmore et al. disclose an electronic control system comprising sensors and actuators, see column 4, lines 43-45. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of LeBlanc et al. by using the features, as taught by Loosmore et al., in order to provide a faster input response in the communication system.

7. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LeBlanc et al. (US 5,960,341) in view of Graham et al. (US 5,351,270).

Art Unit: 2731

LeBlanc et al. disclose the claimed limitations discussed above. LeBlanc et al. do not disclose that the transceiver operates at an unlicensed spread spectrum frequency range. Graham et al. disclose a portable cellular telephone system comprising the following feature: transportable transceiver 12 in Fig. 2 operates at unlicensed frequencies. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of LeBlanc et al., by using the features, as taught by Graham et al., in order to fully utilized the frequencies spectrum.

8. Claims 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LeBlanc et al. (US 5,960,341) in view of Hull et al. (US 5,806,005).

LeBlanc et al. disclose the claimed limitations discussed above. LeBlanc et al. do not disclose the claimed features of video camera. Hull et al. disclose a wireless image transfer system includes a video camera 20 in Fig. 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of LeBlanc et al., by using the features, as taught by Hull et al., in order to provide a user friendly system by having digital images.

### *Conclusion*

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kwang B. Yao whose telephone number is (703) 308-7583. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 5:00.

Art Unit: 2731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on (703) 305-4378.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

10. **Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**


(703) 305-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

**Or:**

(703) 305-3988 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'Kwang B. Yao', is written over a horizontal dashed line.

Kwang B. Yao

June 1, 2000